

REMARKS

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of December 28, 2005. In addition, the Applicant has considered the remarks by Examiner Pesin during our discussions regarding this patent application.

Interview Summary: Based on the last communications with Examiner Pesin on April 27, 2006, it is the Applicant's understanding currently amended independent claim 1 overcomes the previously presented rejections discussed in the Office Action mailed December 28, 2005. Specifically, claim 1 and all claims which depend therefrom (claims 2, 5, 6, 9, 10-14, and 28) are not obvious in view of Helland et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,714,962, Fields et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,412,008, Huang, U.S. Patent No. 6,052,456 and Pereira III, U.S. Patent No. 6,931,402. In addition, it is understood claim 13 is not obvious in view of the above cited prior art in further view of Lee et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,611,814 and claims 14 and 28 are not obvious in view of the above cited prior art in further view of Walker et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,466,919.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-14, 16, 19-25 and 27-30 are now pending in this application. **Claims 1, 5, 9, 10, 16, 19-22, 27 and 28** are currently amended. No new matter has been added. **Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 15, 17, 18 and 26** are canceled. **Claims 14, 23-25 and 29** remain as previously presented. **Claims 2, 6 and 11-13** remain as originally presented. **Claim 30** has been added as a new claim.

With regard to Independent claim 1, and claims 2, 5, 6, 9, 10-14 and 28 which depend therefrom, claim 1 has been amended to include subject matter related to a "Print on Demand" requisition system. Support in the specification for this claimed feature is presented on page 1, lines 10-19, and throughout the remainder of the specification.

In addition, claim 1 has been amended to include subject matter related to the "server computer system." Specifically, the server computer system including a requester database, a working site database, a validation rule database, an inventory database, a requisition cart database and a requisition database. Support in the specification for these claimed features is presented on page 6, line 4 to page 7, line 12, and throughout the remainder of the specification.

Finally, claim 1 has been amended to more clearly define the subject matter claimed. Specifically, the clause "wherein the customizable system is configured to allow a first user to access a first web work site including print on demand items tailored to the first user's attributes, and a second user to access a second web work site including print on demand items tailored to the second user's attributes." Support in the specification for this claimed feature is presented on page 6, line 4 to page 7, line 12, and throughout the remainder of the specification.

Additional support in the specification for the above amended subject matter related to claim 1 can be found on page 11, line 26 to page 20, line 22, which discusses an exemplary method of the Applicant's disclosure.

With regard to new independent claim 30, and claims 16 and 19-28, which depend therefrom, claim 30 has been added to include the claimed subject matter of claim 1 in method form. Accordingly, the support in the specification is discussed above with reference to claim 1.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-14, 16, 19-25 and 27-30) are now in condition for allowance.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Jeffrey N. Zahn, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP


4/28/2000

Date

Patrick R. Roche, Reg. No. 29,580
Jeffrey N. Zahn, Reg. No. 54,864
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
(216) 861-5582